

I am opposed; vary vehemently, against any attempt by two individuals who have a vendetta against some individuals who frequent the 20-meter frequency of 14.178 to limit the pass band of transmission to 2.8Kc in SSB. The problem here is not bandwidth at all but territorial rights that persons who want to be on 14.180/182 want to be able to come up any time and talk to their friends, even if 14.178 is already occupied.

The government is already hard pressed to enforce rules already on the books. Who is going to determine if an individual is 3K or 2.8K? Where are the additional enforcement officers going to come from? There will just be an increase in frivolous complaints by hams that have axes to grind like these two individuals who filled this rule change petition. I have two newer DSP transceivers that transmit 3K in the stock mode as they came from their manufactures. The FCC approved them, would this rule change now mean that I would no longer be able to operate them as they were designed or must I modify them to make sure they do not go over 2.8K?

These individuals claim that there are many hams on the frequencies that are running 6K or more all the time. I monitor many frequencies with a spectrum analyzer and find that most amateurs who produce what has been called wideband, HI-FI, LO-FI, ESSB, or what ever name you want to call it, are already within 3K or maybe over just a few cycles. Those very few who are going wider than 3K have not caused undue interference to anyone in my opinion.

I request that the FCC rejects this proposal for these reasons and do respectfully submit these comments in opposition to any rule changes.

Sincerely Larry M. Wassman W3OZ